

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
TELEPHONE (2 | 2) 373-3000

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE 10 NOBLE STREET

LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE (628) 432-5100

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
P.O. BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

GARY R. CARNEY

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3051 FACSIMILE (212) 492-0051 E-MAIL: gcarney@paulweiss.com

VIA FILE & SERVEXPRESS

Re: In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merchant Discount Antitrust Litig.,

July 14, 2023

05-md-1720 (MKB) (VMS)

Grubhub Holdings, Inc., et al. v. Visa, Inc., et al., No. 19-cv-06555 (E.D.N.Y.)

(MKB) (VMS)

Dear Counsel:

On behalf of Defendants in the above-captioned action, as qualified by the signature blocks set forth in the documents identified below, we are writing to confirm that Defendants are serving, via FTP per the parties' agreement, the following materials:

- Defendants' Notice of Motion for Summary Judgment, the accompanying Declaration of Jayme Jonat, and the exhibits attached thereto;
- Defendants' Statement of Material Facts as to Which There Is No Genuine Issue to Be Tried;
- Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment Under *Ohio* v. *American Express*;
- Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' Post-IPO Conspiracy Claims;
- Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' Damages Claims Under Federal Antitrust Laws Based on *Illinois Brick Co.* v. *Illinois*; and

2

• Mastercard's Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment Based on Mastercard's Lack of Market Power.

In accordance with Judge Brodie's Individual Practices and Rules, the foregoing documents will not be filed with the Court until the motions are fully briefed.

Sincerely,

/s/ Gary R. Carney
Gary R. Carney

Counsel for the Mastercard Defendants

cc: Hon. Margo K. Brodie (by ECF, without enclosures)
Hon. Vera M. Scanlon (by ECF, without enclosures)
All counsel (by ECF, without enclosures)